

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

ROBERT CHARLES CASEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

SOCIAL SECURITY
ADMINISTRATION,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 3:22-CV-1454-X-BK

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND
RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE
JUDGE**

The United States Magistrate Judge made findings, conclusions, and a recommendation in this case. [Doc. No. 7]. The Court ordered Plaintiff Robert Casey “to (1) pay the required filing and administrative fees of \$402.00 or file a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on the AO 239 form, and (2) register to use the Court’s electronic filing system.”¹ Despite an extension of time, Casey failed to comply with either order. Citing Federal Rule of Procedure 41(b), the Magistrate Judge recommends *sua sponte* dismissal for failure to prosecute or failure to comply with a federal court order.²


Casey did not object to the Magistrate Judge’s findings, conclusions, and recommendation.

¹ Doc. No. 6.

² Doc. No. 7 at 1.

The District Court reviewed for plain error the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendations. Finding none, the Court **ACCEPTS** the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge. Since dismissal under Rule 41(b) “operates as an adjudication on the merits,”³ the Court **DISMISSES WITHOUT PREJUDICE** this suit.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 16th day of February, 2023.



BRANTLEY STARR
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

³ FED. R. CIV. PROC. 41(b).